THE OUTLOOK

THOS. O. LUSTER
Editor and Publisher

Published Weekly In The Interest of Carrizozo and all of Lincoln County, New Mexico.

LARGEST CIRCULATION IN THE COUNTY

Entered as second-class matter January 6, 1911, at the post office at Carrizozo, New Mexico, under the Act of March 3, 1879

¶ Advertising forms close Wednesday at noon ¶ News columns close Thursday night. ¶If you do not receive your paper regularly, please notify the Publisher ¶ Advertising rates on application.

SUBSCRIPTION RATES

ONE YEAR, In Advance . . . $1.50
SIX MONTHS, In Advance 75

OFFICE PHONE NUMBER 24

FRIDAY, DECEMBER 10, 1915

For President
LAWRENCE Y. SHERMAN
of Illinois

WHIM WHAMS

A lemon fell into the bay;
By chance a prune came down that way;
The prune could swim—was unafraid.
And soon it gave the lemonade.

Report comes that there is a sudden slump in the sale of horses to the Allies in the southwest. We knew it would queer us when Roswell unloaded that balky fire department horse on them.

And now the doctors tell us that the child who sucks its thumb can never be much of a vocalist. They say "this form of infantile relaxation is said to cause malformation of the upper jaw, and destroy some of the overtones that are so vital a part of musical speech." The Outlook editor has at last found out why he can't sing.

Speak softly to mother. Talk gently to father. Be considerate to brother or sister. It will make a man of you, and in after life you will be kind, gentle and considerate to all people, an honor to your community, and a bright spot in the lives of your fellow beings.

We take the following from a New Mexico Exchange. "The violators of the law are caught now and then. Fielden Dunn of this place was jerked up for selling whiskey and for some cause the main evidence was too much under the influence of liquor to make a clear statment and he was turned loose." That settles it, when the evidence gets drunk, it's time to take out

It has been said that the two biggest fools on earth are, first, the man who rocks the boat, and second, the man who didn't know it was loaded. Let's make it three by adding the man who believes that this country's prosperity can be secured by letting foreigners supply the domestic market and put our own workmen out of their jobs.

A northern New Mexico exchange had the following interesting news item last week. "The writers, rheumatic trouble is no better. It is not his purpose to court sympathy, but he would not give vent to his true feelings if he did not express gratefulness to those who have expressed sympathy for him." If we could arrange in some way to get hourly bulletins on this 'writer's' health, we would be glad to report same through this column.

Look at the condition of the working man today? The tinners are continually going up the spout; the plumbers are always in the gutter; the paperhangers are up against the wall; bakers are compelled to raise the dough; the policeman has to be on the beat to live; the shoemakers have to work on the upppers and get waxed in the end; the clock makers run on tick and never on time; the washwoman is always in soak -and she is the only one you see hanging on the line.

'Tis the last fly of summer, left buzzing alone; all her many companions have perished or flown. No more do we find them embalmed in our hash, no more are we threatened with typhus and rash. The bald head can now risk exposing his pate, assured that no insect will over it skate; old Dobbin and Bossy, who all summer long beat time to the chorus of threatening song, now stand in the sunshine that gladdens the vales, relaxing the muscles of overworked tails 'Tis the last fly of summer which gladly anoints with the warmth of our parlor its ossified joints; and shall we not spare her and pity her plight, and give her a bed and a supper tonight? Nay, let us arise her existence to blot and bring down the fly bat with echoing swat, lest she will come back in the beautiful spring and forty-nine million of grandchildren bring.

SUPREME COURT RENDERS DECISION

(Continued from last week)

In a Kentucky case it was urged that a statute was not valid because on the final passage in the senate "the vote was not taken by yeas and nays and entered in the journal as required by section 46 of the constitution." The bill properly enrolled, was signed by the presiding officers and signed and approved by the governor, and the court says that the question was, "can a law thus promulgated be impeached by reference to the journals of either house?" The court call attention to the fact that this was not a case where the enfolled bill was in any way different from the bill actually adopted, and was, therefore unlike Field v. Clark, 143 U. 649, and State v Chester, 39 S C. 307 in which cases, cited as samples of many others to the same effect, it was held that the official attestation of the presiding officers of the legislative bodies and of the executive are conclusive, although they leave undermined, in express terms, the further question as to wheather an act may be impeached if the journals fail to show that which the constitution expressly requires them to show, such as that the bill was passed by an yea and nay vote.

In Mississippi a case arose involving the validity of a constitutional amendment as to which it was contented, although it has been approved by the people at an election, that it had not become a part of the constitution because the original bill did not recieve the vote of two-thirds of all the members of the senate as was required by the constitution. The bill properly signed by the presiding officers and the governor, was on file in the office of the secretary of state This would seem to present a much stronger case for investigation by the courts beyond and outside of the enrolled bill, than almost any other which can be found, but the court, in a somewhat lengthy opinion, upheld the validity of the passage of the bill.

In later cases the same court refused to resort to the journals to ascertain the true state of facts was in accord with the enrolled and authenticated bill.

There is a case of Brady v. West, 50 Miss., 68, which departs from the sound views announced in the other Mississippi cases, but this case is expresly overruled in the opinion in Ex Parte Wren.

In Louisiana it is required that a statute must be promulgated in order to be effective, and the courts there have held that they will presume that all constitutional rules for the passage of laws have been complied with by the law makers, and that the laws, when promulgated, will be accepted without any inquiry as to the observance or non-observance of such rule. Lottery Co. v. Richoux, 23 La. Ann. 743 744 5. Whited v. Lewis, 25 La Ann. 568, 569.

A case in South Carolina is particularly interesting because it overrules two earlier decisions which are declared to be most unsatisfactory, there having been a strong dissenting opinion in each, quotation being made from one of those dissenting opinions, the courts adopting the views therein expressed.

In a late case (Allen v State, 130 Pac. 1114, 43 L. R. R. A. N. S., 468). the Arizona supreme court adheres to the doctrine, that the duly authenticated act is conclusive, and that the courts will not look beyond it at the journal, or inquire into the acts of the secretary of